

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/663,672 09/18/2000 Yasumori Fukushima 23117 7590 03/29/2004 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD		Yasumori Fukushima	3789-7	4456
			EXAMINER	
		DUDEK, JAMES A		
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201-4714		2871	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/663,672	FUKUSHIMA, YASUMORI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James A. Dudek	2871	

		2011					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a children in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	••					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. ☐ Other:		James A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2871					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 862's shading layer 7 is formed from the same material as applicant's shading layer. Thus shading layer 7 will have the same reflecting and absorbing characteristics, and although it was called a shielding layer, it could have been called a shading layer..